arXiv:hep-ph/0104234v1 23 Apr 2001

A proposal on the possibility of detecting D-particles

Elias Gravanis and Nick E. Mavromatos
Department of Physics, Theoretical Physics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.

In a previous study we showed that D0-branes (particles) can operate as sources of very-high-energy radiation, as a result of an unstable spacetime distortion that follows a D0-particle/closed string state scattering. The effect can happen only if the energy of the incident particle/closed string state exceeds a certain threshold, which is of order of the subsequently radiated energy. In this letter we speculate on the possibility of detection of the D-particles due to this phenomenon. The energies of the emitted radiation range in a narrow window of size less than one order of magnitude. Observation-wise, this radiation will appear as an excess of photons in a narrow band in the spectrum of high energy cosmic rays. From their energy we can then read off the value of the product of the string mass scale times the string coupling. We speculate on the possibility that high energy neutrinos from GRB's play the rôle of the necessary high energy flux that triggers the effect, by striking D-particles lying inside the mean free path of the photons as measured from Earth. The possibilty of this effect operating as a mechanism of GZK cutoff violation is also pointed out.

PACS number(s): 04.50.+h, 04.62.+v, 98.80.Cq hep-ph/0104234

It has been argued in ref. [1] that the recoil of a D-particle embedded in a four-dimensional spacetime, due to its scattering with a stringy (high-energy) mode, results in the following metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{{b'}^2 r^2}{t^2} dt^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} dx_i^2 \ , \qquad r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i^2 \tag{1}$$

where $b'(E)$ is the momentum uncertainty of the recoiling D-particle, and E denotes its kinetic energy. It is a property of $b'(E)$ to decrease with increasing energy [2]. However, this energy dependence is weak even for the high energies we consider here, and thus b' can be taken to be $b' \simeq 2g_s$, where g_s is the string coupling constant.

In a previous work by the authors [3] it was shown that this metric leads to the formation of a finite radius spherical distortion of the initially flat spacetime surrounding the recoiling defect, that we call a "bubble". The metric exterior to the bubble, $r \geq 1/b'(E)$, is the flat Minkowski spacetime. The interior of the bubble, on the other hand, contains the excitations of an axion field (coming from the antisymmetric tensor field of the string multiplet), and a tachyonic-like mode, which expresses an instability of the bubble, of average lifetime [*]

$$\tau \sim l_s \ e^{1/2g_s} \tag{2}$$

where l_s is the string length scale, and g_s the string coupling.

Bubble formation requires high-values of the incident energy roughly of order

$$E^{\rm threshold} \sim \frac{g_s}{100} M_s \tag{3}$$

where $M_s = 1/l_s$ is the string mass scale.

The interior of the bubble has been found to have a non-zero temperature [3]

$$T_0 \sim g_s M_s \tag{4}$$

due to the Rindler-accelerating nature of the distorted spacetime around the recoiling D-particle. As a result, the bubble radiates an energy of order

$$E_{\rm rad} \sim E_{\rm threshold} \tag{5}$$

within its lifetime. It should be remarked that this amount of energy happens to be the same as the axion-field thermal energy [3], thereby implying that the D-partilce defect will not experience any mass loss. Therefore our model involves D-particles that remain stable. This property is obviously necessary. In addition, assuming stability we restrict ourselves to a certain class of D-branes, whose other properties are also important for our purpose. We will return to this later on.

From energy-momentum conservation, which can be rigorously proven within our mathematical framework of D-particle recoil [4,2], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{energy :} \qquad & E_{\rm in} + M_D = M_D + \frac{1}{2} M_D u^2 + E_{\rm rad}, \\ \text{momentum :} \qquad & E_{\rm in} = M_D u + p \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $M_D = M_s/g_s$ is the rest mass of the D-particle, u is its (non-relativistic) recoil velocity, $E = \frac{1}{2} M_D u^2$ is its kinetic energy, p denotes the total momentum of the emitted radiation from the bubble, and we restricted ourselves for definiteness to the case of a massless incident particle of energy $E_{\rm in}$. From (6) one can show that the total momentum p satisfies the inequality

---

[*] In ref. [3] we used the coordinate time t' in the "comoving frame", which is related to the time t in (1) by $dt'/l_s = dt/t$. Note that, in the context of the recoil formalism [2,4], t is the Minkowski time. However, use of t would require knowledge of the time moment at which the bubble was formed, and started decaying. Obviously, within a quantum string formalism such a process would occur within a (uncertainty) time $\sim l_s$. Such issues do not arise if one uses the "comoving" time t' instead, and this motivated its use in [3].

$$p \geq \frac{1}{2} E_{\rm rad} \tag{7}$$

From this it is evident that the emitted number of photons, during the bubble's life time, is restricted to a few [†].

We shall now make use of the above phenomenon to provide a means of detection of the D0-particles. We will show that, under a few assumptions, radiation from a physically acceptable population of D-particles in the universe can be observed. Then, an excess of photons somewhere in the observed spectrum of high energy cosmic rays, can be interpreted as coming from this effect and, through that, from the branes. Since high energy photons have a mean free path smaller than 100 Mpc, we shall consider here D-particles located inside a volume of radius of order of 10 Mpc, around Earth.

Consider a D-particle striken by a current of highly-energetic weakly-interacting particles, which can travel undisturbed at great distances. We assume now that the energy of the particle is higher than the threshold energy (3) for the formation of the bubble. The highest energy neutrinos from gamma-ray bursters (GRB's) [5] can provide the high energy flux we need, as in the bursters there is a relatively significant production of particles whose energies can possibly be of order $10^{-2} g_s M_s$, where g_s is assumed smaller than unity, for the validity of the string perturbation expansion. Our interest in neutrinos arises from the fact that these particles can travel undisturbed over very large distances, creating significant uniform and isotropic flux. According to contemporary models [5], these neutrinos come with appreciable flux only up to energies 10^{18}eV, so our first assumption will be that the threshold energy must be bounded from above by such a value. This, in turn, implies that the string length scale falls within the experimental sensitivity, if it is at most (c.f. (3))

$$M_s \sim \frac{10^{11}\ GeV}{g_s} \tag{8}$$

Then, a few photons will be emitted, with an energy spectrum in a narrow window below E_{rad}, whose highest value has been assumed to be about 10^{18}eV [‡].

We now turn to an estimation of the distribution of D-particles in the universe which can produce sufficient rates of photons on Earth. We assume a *uniform* and *isotrpopic* flux $J_{\rm he}$ for the highly-energetic particles, and a concentration of D-particles n_D in a region of linear dimension ℓ. Then, it is evident that the flux of the incident particles, which will strike the D-particles, is $J_{\rm he}(1 - e^{-\ell\sigma n_D})$ where σ is the effective cross section of the particle/D-brane scattering :

$$\sigma \sim l_s^2 = (\frac{10^{11}}{g_s} GeV)^{-2} = g_s^2 10^{-50} {\rm cm}^2. \tag{9}$$

Note that the string length scale l_s determines the natural size of the D-brane as seen by an incoming string. For each scattering event there will be a few highly-energetic photons emitted due to the mechanism described in ref. [3] and reviewed above. This implies that, in order of magnitude, the total number of emitted photons is about the same as that of incident particles. Assuming that $\ell\sigma n_D$ is small, it follows that the flux of the emitted photons will be roughly of order $J_{he}\ell\sigma n_D$. Restricting ourselves from now on to the value $g_s = 10^{-2}$, we obtain $\sigma \sim 10^{-54}{\rm cm}^2$.

The emerging photons of the first particle/D-brane scattering carry energies less than the threshold energy, hence, when such photons strike another D-particle will be captured. If $\ell\sigma n_D$ is small, the final photon flux will still be given by

$$J_\gamma = J_{\rm he}\ell\sigma n_D \tag{10}$$

since in this case $e^{-\ell\sigma n_D} \simeq 1$.

As already mentioned, a good candidate for the highly energetic particles with energies above the threshold (3), are neutrinos emitted from the GRB's. According to studies of the last few years [5], neutrinos of such energies can be emitted from the fireball due to the muon decay that follows the pion production, due to the interaction of fireball protons with afterglow photons. The energy of neutrinos of appreciable flux is about two orders of magnitude less than that of protons, which is supposed to be the highest energy of the ultra-high-energy cosmic rays (UHECR). Then, we expect to have netrinos of flux of order, roughly, 10^{-9}GeV/cm^{2}sec at energies 10^{18} to 10^{19}eV, depending on the energy and neutrino species [5].

If one assumes a uniform distribution of the D-particle defects inside large regions in space, then a simple calculation shows that, in order to explain the observed events, one needs a phenomenologically unacceptable total mass of D-particles. It is, therefore, necessary to assume that the latter form collections of very high density, whose concentration in space, however, is low. We next assume that such collections can produce radiation flux of order of that of the high energy cosmic rays, $J_{\rm CR} \sim 10^{-20}/{\rm cm}^2$ sec sr, and that the observed UHECR flux is of the same order as the photon flux (10). If there are N such ensembles of D-particles in a volume around Earth, of average radius in the order of 10 Mpc, one has

---

[†] As a side remark we observe that, if $E_{\rm in}$ is larger than $(1+\sqrt{2})E_{\rm rad} \simeq 2.4 E_{\rm rad}$, then the total momentum of radiation is larger than its toral energy. In such a case only a single photon is emitted out of the bubble, and such an emission is therefore necessarily accompanied by another particle (which could be the incident stringy mode itself).

[‡] Note that, if one uses $g_s \sim 10^{-2}$, which is small, as needed for the validity of our string perturbation expansion, but not unjustifiably small, we observe that the smallest detectable string length, in this approach, is $l_s \sim 10^{-27}$cm, in which case the bubble's lifetime (2) is $\sim 10^{-16}$ sec.

$$J_{\rm CR} \sim NJ_\gamma \sim NJ_{\rm he}\ell\sigma n_D = Ncn_{\rm he}\ell\sigma n_D \sim \\ \sim Ncn_{he}\sigma N_D/\ell^2 \qquad (11)$$

where N_D denotes the average number of branes in one of these D-brane-collections, c is the speed of light in vacuo, and $n_{\rm he}$ is the concentration of the high-energy particles, assumed to be neutrinos from GRB's . Their flux, given above, implies a number density of high energy particles $n_{he} \sim 10^{-28}/{\rm cm}^3$. Then,

$$NN_D \sim \ell^2 10^{48}/{\rm cm}^2. \qquad (12)$$

Using the fact that $M_D = 10^{-9}$gr for the given string scale and coupling, one obtains the total D-particle mass contained in the 10Mpc-volume as:

$$M_{total} \sim 10^6 \ell^2/{\rm cm}^2 \; M_\odot \qquad (13)$$

These formulae show that in the limit of very small ℓ the total number and mass of branes needed can be very small. We have then to determine the lower limit of the linear dimension of the brane collections ℓ. First we must take into account a few other requirements. As we have stressed earlier, it is important that the scattering rate of high energy particles passing through the brane ensembles is small, so that

$$\ell\sigma n_D \sim \sigma N_D/\ell^2 \ll 1. \qquad (14)$$

Also, in order to be able to treat the D-particle collections as distinct spots of scattering, whose internal density is unrelated to their concentration in space, we must have $n_D \gg N/(10 \text{ Mpc} - \text{volume})$. Finally , the Schwarzschild radius has to be much smaller than ℓ, so that one is far away from the black hole limit. Then, it turns out that the strongest condition is (14), and the last two are then trivially satisfied. Since there is no independent lower limit for N_D, we may take for concreteness $N_D \sim 10$ assuming that such a configuration forms a stable bound state of D-particles, an issue that we will discuss later on. Setting ℓ to be ten orders of magnitude larger than the saturated limit, we then get $\ell \sim 10^{-16}$ cm, which gives a value for the total number of brane ensembles in the 10 Mpc-volume $N \sim 10^{16}$. These imply a total D-brane mass in that volume of order $10^{-26}M_\odot \sim 10^4$ kgr. These numbers are quite sensitive in changes of the parameters, but there are large regions of the parameter space where the results are reasonable . The total mass can be very small and hence it does not contribute to the dark matter, although it would be a very good candidate for it, given that branes are "bright" only when very high energetic particles are passing nearby.

The conclusion of the above, rather indicative, results is that a relatively small number of D-particles is needed to produce an observable effect, if our assumptions are valid. Then, an observed excess of photons in a certain energy channel of the high energy cosmic rays would imply the existence of D-particles, thereby fixing the value of the product $g_s M_s$ of the fundamental string parameters. The above estimates provide information only about a portion of the total population of D-particles in the universe, assuming they exist, which contributes to an observable radiation.

It should be remarked that the above considerations were based on the assumption that the maximum energy of the incident particles (neutrinos or other species) is of order 10^{19} eV. Relaxing this, by allowing the maximum energies to be higher, e.g. of order 10^{21} eV [5], would imply that the above scenario could also provide [3] a mechanism for production of UHECR beyond the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) cut-off [6]. For this to happen, of course, the location of the brane defects must lie within the respective mean free paths. However, we must note that these considerations cannot offer an explanation of the complete set of the observed UHECR events [7]. The so-produced UHECR events, if any, constitute only a contribution to this set, given that our mechanism produces only photons, with energies in a rather narrow interval.

For the validity of the above scenario it is crucial that the populations of the D-particle defects are *stable.* Naively, since the D-particles are very massive, one expects that at large concentrations, such as the ones above, they will collapse to form black holes or at least to produce very strong gravitational fields, which would jeopardize our scenario. The problem of preventing such a collapse is equivalent to that of stabilizing D-branes, which at present is an important open issue. One expects that, in general, such stability mechanisms would imply a certain distance scale among the constituent D-particles in a collection, as well as restrictions on the number of branes in the ensemble and probably on the total number of branes in the universe, something which most likely cannot be explained by the dynamics of their interactions alone.

However, there are recent attempts in the string literature in which -under certain circumstances- one has a *no force condition* among the D-particles. Usually this is the case of sufficient spacetime supersymmetries, where the D-particle states are viewed as specific states, saturating the so-called Bogomolnýi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) bound [8]. However, it has been pointed out [9] that one may obtain *stable* non BPS D-brane states by considering appropriate combinations of BPS branes, in such a way that spacetime supersymmetry is not preserved. From our point of view we are interested in such stable non-BPS non-supersymmetric D-particles.

Such states can be viewed as solutions of certain string theories, which are connected with the phenomenologically relevant string theories, like Heterotic String, assumed to be living on the four-dimensional spacetime (after appropriate compactification), by virtue of certain *duality symmetries* [8,9]. One such theory is type IIB string theory. In general, D-particle/D-particle scattering in type IIB string theory has been studied in the literature [10]. Although the construction of [10] refers to a specific string model involving a particular orbifold compactification [11], which may not be necessarily realized in our situation, however we find it generic enough so as to consider it as a prototype for the D-particle stability mechanism we need here.

The main idea behind the stability mechanism via the no force condition in this model for D-particles lies on the fact that there are additional vector interactions, $U_D(1)$, associated with the specific way of constructing the non-BPS state. We shall not explain the details here, but we refer the interested reader to the relevant literature [9–11]. Such $U_D(1)$ interactions should not be confused with observable interactions of ordinary matter in our construction. The latter may be assumed *neutral* under the $U_D(1)$, which thus characterizes only the D-particles in the ensemble, which are then assumed 'electrically' charged under this $U_D(1)$, and in principle may have both positive and negative charges. The positive charges characterize, say, the D-particles, whilst the negative charges the anti-D particles, denoted by $\overline{D}$. The $U_D(1)$ interaction is therefore *repulsive* among D-particles (or $\overline{D}$-particle), and *attractive* amond D-particle-$\overline{D}$-particle.

Once we admit both kinds of charges there arises the issue as to how "polarized" D-particle collections, characterized by a significant excess of (positive or negative) $U_D(1)$ charge, have been formed in the Galaxies today. This has not been resolved as yet, neither will be the topic of the present work. For our purposes here we merely conjecture that, somewhow, after the Big Bang, such polarized regions emerged inside ordinary matter, in such a way that the overall net $U_D(1)$ charge of the Universe is zero. An alternative scenario would be that $\overline{D}$-particles behaved in similar way as antiparticles in ordinary matter, and hence the Universe today consists in its overwhelming majority of D-particles only. This, would then trivially solve the above-mentioned problem of "polarization".

In general, the no force condition may be a property valid at all distances. This is the case of supersymmetric D-particles that saturate the BPS bound. However, in the orbifold construction of [10], the no force condition among the non BPS D-particles is found to occur at large scales r, as compared with the string length l_s, where notably (target space) effective low-energy string action methods are applicable. This feature is exclusive of a critical-radius orbifold compactification.

An important point to remark is that the construction of [10] ignored higher-string loop effects. The incorporation of such effects results in general in the destruction of the no force condition [12], although under some circumstances it may be made valid up to one loop. The effects of string-loop resummation cannot be answered at present, and hence the issue of the no force condition at a *non-perturnbative* string level is still open.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of E.G. is supported by a King's College London Research Studentship (KRS). N.E.M. wishes to thank H. Hofer (ETH, Zurich and CERN) for his interest and support.

---

[1] J. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 084019 (2000) [gr-qc/0006004], and references therein.

[2] N. E. Mavromatos and R. J. Szabo, Phys.Rev. D **59**, 104018 (1999) [hep-th/9808124].

[3] E. Gravanis and N.E. Mavromatos, hep-th/0103122.

[4] I. I. Kogan, N. E. Mavromatos and J. F. Wheater, Phys. Lett. B **387**, 483 (1996) [hep-th/9606102].

[5] E. Waxman, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 87 (2000) 345-354 [astro-ph/0002243]. E. Waxman and J.N. Bahcall, Phys.Rev.Lett. 78 (1997) 2292-2295 [astro-ph/9701231]. E. Waxman and J.N. Bahcall, Astrophys.J. 541 (2000) 707-711 [hep-ph/9909286]. E. Waxman, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 91 (2000) 494-500 [hep-ph/0009152]. D. Guetta, M. Spada, E. Waxman, [astro-ph/0102487].

[6] K. Greisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 748 (1966); G. T. Zatsepin and V. A. Kuzmin, JETP Lett. **4**, 78 (1966).

[7] M.A. Lawrence *et al.*, J. Phys. **G17**, 733 (1991); N.N. Efimov *et al.*, in *22nd Intl. Cosmic Ray Conf. (1991)*, Dublin (Ireland); D.J. Bird *et al.*, Astrophys. J **441**, 144 (1995); M. Takeda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1163 (1998); A. Watson, in *Proc. Snowmass Workshop*, 126 (1996); A.V. Olinto, Phys. Repts. **333-334**, 329 (2000); for a recent concise review see: M. Nagano, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 689 (2000).

[8] M.J. Duff, R.R. Khuri and J.X. Lu, Phys. Repts. **159**, 213 (1995) [hep-th/9412184].

[9] A. Sen, JHEP **06**, 007 (1998) [hep-th/9803194]; *ibid.* **08**, 010 (1998) [hep-th/9805019].

[10] E. Eyras and S. Panda, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 251 (2000) [hep-th/0003033].

[11] O. Bergman and M. R. Gaberdiel, Phys. Lett. B **441**, 133 (1998) [hep-th/9806155].

[12] N. D. Lambert and I. Sachs, JHEP**0102**, 018 (2001) [hep-th/0010045]; M. Bertolini and A. Lerda, hep-th/0012169.